

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Banks P.O. Banks Advantina, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/866,857	05/30/1997	DAVID CORBOY	06651/008001	1383	
26171 7	590 03/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			HUYNH, CO	HUYNH, CONG LAC T	
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2178		
		DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
08/866,857	CORBOY, DAVID			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Cong-Lac Huynh	2178			

	Cong-Lac Huynh	21/8	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	•
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) to with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fo ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 1	iling date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amoushortened statutory period for reply of	int of the fee. The appropring in the final Office in the final Of	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL		date of the infarrejection,	even ii uineiy iileo,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see Nw);	IOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			the issues for
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	:	•	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>137-139</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>122-136 and 140-173</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affic	lavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap y and was not earlier presented.	peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but			
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			nce because.
13. Other:	(·
		Conglacting Cong-Lac Huynh	h
	-	Cong-Lac Huynh	

Cong-Lac Huynh
Primary Examiner

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicants' arguments are not persuasive. Regarding the 112 rejection, Applicants explain that all the slices of the third multimedia object may be non-interleaved and contained in a first choreography group 610 bit stream, and the slices of the first and second multimedia objects may be interleaved together and also contained in a second choreography group 610 of the bit stream for delivery at a later point in time. However, the Examiner does not see any non-interleaved slices of multimedia objects in the choreography group 601 in figure 6, but instead only interleaved slice data. Regarding the 103 rejection, providing separate tracks of audio and images output as well as reproducing available video and image (col 13, lines 1-34) shows that the multimedia data is added to the multimedia object without interleaving the data slices of the multimedia object.